In The Indiana Supreme Court

IN THE MATTER OF)	Case No.	49S00-0312-DI-595		
JOSEPH S. SCHAEFER)				
ORDER FINDING MISCONDUCT AND ISSUING PUBLIC REPRIMAND					
Upon review of the report of the heat evidence on the Disciplinary Commission we find that respondent engaged in attorney	's <i>Verifi</i>	ied Compla	int for Discipli	nary Action,	
Facts: When respondent was part of an orlaw firm before joining the firm as a smonthly retainer for collection work. Reexchange for a salary reduction, if he coprivate client.	alaried esponde	associate, a	a client paid ressfully asked t	espondent a the firm, in	
Respondent also had two other clients from the firm but did not compared as an associate, respondent performed legal utilizing the firm's secretarial help, office one of the partners in the firm about his wijustify his actions. The firm dismissed him.	ommun l work t supplie vork for	icate that to for these two es, and statio	o the partners. As o clients in an oronery. When co	After joining open manner onfronted by	
Violations: Ind. Professional Conduct continued representation of his former cliattorney at a law firm.			-		
Discipline: Public reprimand.					
For the misconduct found herein, the these proceedings are assessed against the r			icly reprimand	ed. Costs of	
The Clerk of this Court is directed to and his attorney; to the Indiana Supreme Cofficer, The Honorable Clark H. Roger Admis.Disc.R. 23(3)(d).	Court D	isciplinary (Commission, to	the hearing	
DONE at Indianapolis, Indiana, this	_ day c	of June, 2005	5.		
				_	
		Randall T	. Shepard		

Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.